# Physics 115B, Problem Set 8 <br> Due Friday, May 27, 5pm 

## Every problem is worth 10 points.

Every sub-question is worth the same, unless otherwise specified.

## 1 Unitarity and Hermiticity

Show that, for a Hermitian operator $\mathcal{O}$, the operator $U=\exp [i \mathcal{O}]$ is unitary. Hint: first prove that the adjoint is given by $U^{\dagger}=\exp [-i \mathcal{O}]$, then prove $U^{\dagger} U=1$.

## 2 Momentum Eigenstates

Back in Physics 115A (in 1D) you took the wavefunction for a state of momentum $p$ to be

$$
\psi_{p}(x) \equiv\langle x \mid p\rangle=A e^{i p x / \hbar}
$$

where $A$ is a normalization factor. Now we can derive this using the properties of the translation operator $T(a)$. If the state $|p\rangle$ is one of well-defined momentum $p$, how would you characterize the state $\left|p^{\prime}\right\rangle \equiv T(a)|p\rangle$ ? Show that the position-space wavefunctions of these states are related by

$$
\left\langle x \mid p^{\prime}\right\rangle=\psi_{p^{\prime}}(x)=e^{-i a p / \hbar} \psi_{p}(x)=e^{-i a p / \hbar}\langle x \mid p\rangle
$$

and also

$$
\psi_{p^{\prime}}(x)=\psi_{p}(x-a)
$$

Hence conclude that $\langle x \mid p\rangle=A e^{i p x / \hbar}$.

## 3 Exchange Interaction is a Square Well

In this question, you will calculate the effect of the exchange interaction in an infinite square well. Consider two noninteracting particles of mass $m$. Recall the infinite square well eigenstates are

$$
\psi_{j}(x)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \sin \frac{j \pi x}{a}
$$

where $j=1,2,3, \ldots$ and $x \in[0, a]$. Let the particles be in states $\psi_{j}$ and $\psi_{k}$ with $j \neq k$. We are interested in calculating the typical separation between the particles. As in lecture, we can do this as $\left\langle\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}\right\rangle$. For distinguishable particles, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}\right\rangle_{d} & =\left\langle\psi_{k}\right|\left\langle\psi_{j}\right| x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}-2 x_{1} x_{2}\left|\psi_{j}\right\rangle\left|\psi_{k}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\psi_{j}\right| x_{1}^{2}\left|\psi_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{k} \mid \psi_{k}\right\rangle+\left\langle\psi_{j} \mid \psi_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{k}\right| x_{2}^{2}\left|\psi_{k}\right\rangle-2\left\langle\psi_{j}\right| x_{1}\left|\psi_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{k}\right| x_{2}\left|\psi_{k}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\psi_{j}\right| x^{2}\left|\psi_{j}\right\rangle+\left\langle\psi_{k}\right| x_{2}^{2}\left|\psi_{k}\right\rangle-2\left\langle\psi_{j}\right| x\left|\psi_{j}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{k}\right| x\left|\psi_{k}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the $\left|\psi_{j}\right\rangle$ are affected only by the $x_{1}$ and the $\left|\psi_{k}\right\rangle$ are affected only by the $x_{2}$. For a spatially (anti)symmetric wavefunction, we also get a contribution from cross-terms for the $\left\langle x_{1} x_{2}\right\rangle$ piece, giving

$$
\left.\left\langle\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}\right\rangle_{ \pm}=\left\langle\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}\right\rangle_{d} \mp 2\left|\left\langle\psi_{j}\right| x\right| \psi_{k}\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}
$$

Note the following useful integrals.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{a} d x x \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{j \pi x}{a}\right) & =\frac{a^{2}}{4} \\
\int_{0}^{a} d x x^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{j \pi x}{a}\right) & =\frac{a^{3}}{12}\left(2-\frac{3}{\pi^{2} j^{2}}\right) \\
\int_{0}^{a} d x x \sin \left(\frac{j \pi x}{a}\right) \sin \left(\frac{k \pi x}{a}\right) & =\frac{2 a^{2} j k\left[(-1)^{j+k}-1\right]}{\pi^{2}\left(j^{2}-k^{2}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculate $\left\langle\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}\right\rangle$ if the particles are

1. distinguishable.
2. in a symmetric spatial wave function, with $j+k$ even.
3. in a symmetric spatial wave function, with $j+k$ odd.
4. in an antisymmetric spatial wave function, with $j+k$ even.
5. in an antisymmetric spatial wave function, with $j+k$ odd.

The exchange interaction is also sometimes called the 'exchange force'. Is it actually a force? Why or why not?

## 4 Isospin

The concept of isospin was introduced in the 1930s. As far as the strong interaction is concerned, protons and neutrons are the same. They are both spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions, they have (almost) the same mass, and the same strong interaction properties. So, ignoring E\&M effects, they can be thought of as the same particle (a "nucleon") with an additional intrinsic quantum number called "isospin" $(I)$ which has the same algebraic properties as spin or angular moment.

The nucleon has $I=\frac{1}{2}$, the proton and the neutron are distinguished by the 3rd component of $I$, i.e., $I_{3}=+\frac{1}{2}$ for the proton and $I_{3}=-\frac{1}{2}$ for the neutron. We can write the states as
$\begin{array}{lll}|p\rangle & =\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2}\right. & \left.+\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle_{N} \\ |n\rangle=\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2}\right. & \left.-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle_{N} & \text { and }\end{array}$
where the notation is the same as the one we used for angular momentum, and the subscript $N$ (which we can also drop, as long as we know what we are talking about) indicates that these are nucleon states.
A more modern picture is in terms of quark up and down states $u$ and $d$ where now $|u\rangle=\left|\frac{1}{2} \quad+\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle_{q} \quad$ and
$|d\rangle=\left|\frac{1}{2} \quad-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle_{q}$
where the subscript $q$ indicates that these are $q$ states.
Antiquarks also make up a $I=\frac{1}{2}$ doublet as
$-|d\rangle=\left|\frac{1}{2} \quad+\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle_{\bar{q}} \quad$ and
$|u\rangle=\left|\frac{1}{2}{ }^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle_{\bar{q}}$
(the minus sign is a technicality that is not important now).
(a) Quark charges are $q(u)=\frac{2}{3} e$ and $q(d)=-\frac{1}{3} e$; antiquarks have the opposite charge. Write down the various possible $|q \bar{q}\rangle$ states of total $I=1$ and $I=0$. The three $I=1$ states correspond to the three pion states $\pi^{+}, \pi^{0}, \pi^{-}$.
(b) The deuteron $(d)$ is a proton-neutron bound state of $I=0$. Consider the following processes

1. $p p \rightarrow d \pi^{+}$
2. $p n \rightarrow d \pi^{0}$
3. $n n \rightarrow d \pi^{-}$

You will learn in 115C that for $a b \rightarrow c$ the probability of the process is given by the square of an amplitude $A_{a b \rightarrow c}=\langle c| S|a b\rangle$, where $S$ here is the so-called $S$-matrix operator. In strong interactions, isospin (both $I$ and $I_{3}$ ) are conserved, $A_{a b \rightarrow c}$ does depend on $I$ but not on $I_{3}$. Find relationships between the cross-sections (ie, the relative probabilities) of the three processes above.

## 5 Translation of Momentum Operator

Let $T(a)$ be the 1D translation operator by a distance $a$. Show that the translation of the momentum operator $\hat{p}$ given by $\hat{p}^{\prime} \equiv T(a)^{\dagger} \hat{p} T(a)=\hat{p}$.

