

Selection Rules

Matrix elements of a perturbation H' are sometimes 0.

For example, the eigenkets of H^0 are often eigenkets of other operators, like L^2 , or L_z , or parity Π . Call one of these Ω . Obviously $[H^0, \Omega] = 0$. $\Omega \rightarrow$ Hermitian

Then say: $\Omega |\alpha_1, w_1\rangle = w_1 |\alpha_1, w_1\rangle$

\uparrow e.v. of \uparrow
 \swarrow Ω \searrow
 $\Omega |\alpha_2, w_2\rangle = w_2 |\alpha_2, w_2\rangle$

The symbol α_1 represents all the quantum numbers other than w that might describe the state. If Ω is L_z , α might include radial & l quantum numbers.

Then, $\langle \alpha_2, w_2 | H' | \alpha_1, w_1 \rangle = 0$ "selection rule" when $w_1 \neq w_2$

when $[\Omega, H'] = 0$

To see this: $0 = \langle \alpha_2, w_2 | [\Omega, H'] | \alpha_1, w_1 \rangle$

$= \langle \alpha_2, w_2 | [\underbrace{\Omega}_{\text{right}} H' - H' \underbrace{\Omega}_{\text{left}}] | \alpha_1, w_1 \rangle$

$0 = \underbrace{(w_2 - w_1)}_{\neq \text{ by assumption}} \underbrace{\langle \alpha_2, w_2 | H' | \alpha_1, w_1 \rangle}_{\text{must then } = 0}$

" H' carries no Ω " means $\dots \rightarrow$

$$\underline{\Omega}(\underline{H}'|w_1\rangle) = \underline{H}'\underline{\Omega}|w_1\rangle = \underline{H}'(w_1|w_1\rangle) = w_1(\underline{H}'|w_1\rangle)$$

↓
because $[\underline{\Omega}, \underline{H}'] = 0$

$$\underline{\Omega}\underline{H}' - \underline{H}'\underline{\Omega} = 0$$

$$\underline{\Omega}\underline{H}' = \underline{H}'\underline{\Omega}$$

$\underline{H}'|w_1\rangle$ still an eigenstate of $\underline{\Omega}$ with same eigenvalue w_1 , when $[\underline{\Omega}, \underline{H}'] \neq 0$ then things like

$$\underline{H}'|w_1\rangle \propto |w_1\rangle + \alpha |w_2\rangle$$

happen

more or less " $\underline{\Omega}$ "
 $\rightarrow \underline{H}'$ carries $\underline{\Omega}$
 when $[\underline{H}', \underline{\Omega}] \neq 0$

Example

Suppose $\underline{H}' \propto \underline{z}$, $= \alpha \underline{z}$

note: $[\underline{L}_z, \underline{H}'] = \alpha [\underline{L}_z, \underline{z}] = 0$

because $\underline{L}_z = \underline{x} \underline{p}_y - \underline{y} \underline{p}_x \leftarrow \text{no } \underline{p}_z!$

so $\langle n'l'm' | \underline{H}' | n'l m \rangle \propto \delta_{m'm}$

SHO or Hydrogen

(won't cover Wigner-Eckart theorem, but this example is W.E.T.)

Parity when $[\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{H}'] = 0$

and $[\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{H}^0] = 0$

then $\langle m^0 | \tilde{H}' | n^0 \rangle = 0$ unless $|m^0\rangle, |n^0\rangle$ have same parity.

A related case: suppose $\tilde{\pi} |n^0\rangle = \pi |n^0\rangle$

consider $\tilde{\pi} \begin{Bmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{y} \\ \tilde{z} \\ R_x \\ R_y \\ R_z \end{Bmatrix} |n^0\rangle = -\pi \begin{Bmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{y} \\ \tilde{z} \\ R_x \\ R_y \\ R_z \end{Bmatrix} |n^0\rangle$ $+1$ or -1

since $\tilde{\pi} \tilde{x} = -\tilde{x} \tilde{\pi}$

so states like $\tilde{x} |n^0\rangle$ have parity opposite to $|n^0\rangle$. So, for

$\langle m^0 | \tilde{x} | n^0 \rangle$ to be non-zero, $|m^0\rangle, |n^0\rangle$ must have opposite parity
 or $\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}, R_x, R_y, R_z$

Stark Effect in ground state

Put a hydrogen atom in an electric field, $\vec{E} = E \hat{k} = E \hat{z}$.

$z \uparrow$
 $\uparrow \uparrow$
 E

$\phi = -\mathcal{E}z$

z_e electron
 z_p proton

$H' = -\mathcal{E}(q_e z_e + q_p z_p)$
 $\uparrow \quad \uparrow$
 $-e \quad +e$

$H' = e\mathcal{E}(z_e - z_p)$
 $\underbrace{\hspace{2cm}}_{z, \text{ the relative coordinate}}$

$$H' = e\mathcal{E}z$$

First Order: $E'_{100} = \langle 100 | e\mathcal{E}z | 100 \rangle$
 $= e\mathcal{E} \langle 100 | z | 100 \rangle$
 ground state $n=1$
 0 by parity

no shift... another view is:

$$\int d^3x |\psi_{100}(\vec{x})|^2 z$$

spherically symmetric $\frac{1}{z}^+, \frac{1}{z}^- \rightarrow$ nulls out.

so $E'_0 = 0$

Second Order: $E''_{100} = \sum_{n \neq m} \frac{e^2 \mathcal{E}^2 \langle 100 | z | nlm \rangle \langle nlm | z | 100 \rangle}{E_{100} - E_{nlm}}$
 means omit 100 or generally, the state being perturbed

note that $E_{100} - E_{nlm} < 0$ because $E_{nlm} > E_{100}$. The numerator is ≥ 0
 since $\langle 100 | z | nlm \rangle \langle nlm | z | 100 \rangle = |\langle 100 | z | nlm \rangle|^2$
 so, $E''_{100} \leq 0 \leftarrow$ to second order, ground state lowers energy

Method #1 Try to bracket $|E_{100}^2|$

$$|E_{100} - E_{n\ell m}| \gg |E_{100} - E_{200}| = \frac{e^2}{2a_0} \left(\frac{1}{1^2} - \frac{1}{2^2} \right) = \frac{3}{8} \frac{e^2}{a_0}$$

$$\text{so } |E_{100}^2| = e^2 \varepsilon^2 \left| \sum' \frac{|\langle 100 | z | n\ell m \rangle|^2}{E_{100} - E_{n\ell m}} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{e^2 \varepsilon^2}{\frac{3}{8} \frac{e^2}{a_0}} \left(\sum' |\langle 100 | z | n\ell m \rangle|^2 \right)$$

remember, missing 100 in sum (the ' means this)

$$E_{100}^2 \leq \frac{8}{3} a_0 \varepsilon^2 \left(\sum \langle 100 | z | n\ell m \rangle \langle n\ell m | z | 100 \rangle - \langle 100 | z | 100 \rangle \langle 100 | z | 100 \rangle \right)$$

no' ↑ subtract this to make up for ' removal

$$\text{but } \sum |n\ell m\rangle \langle n\ell m| = 1$$

$$E_{100}^2 \leq \frac{8}{3} a_0 \varepsilon^2 \left(\langle 100 | z^2 | 100 \rangle - \underbrace{\langle 100 | z | 100 \rangle^2}_0 \right)$$

$$\langle 100 | z^2 | 100 \rangle = \int d^3x |\psi_{100}(\vec{x})|^2 z^2$$

p. 357 $\psi_{100} = \left(\frac{1}{\pi a_0^3} \right)^{1/2} e^{-r/a_0}$ $z = r \cos \theta$ $\mu = \cos \theta$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi a_0^3} \int_0^\infty dr r^4 e^{-2r/a_0} \int_{-1}^1 d\mu \mu^2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi$$

$$\langle 100 | z^2 | 100 \rangle = \frac{2a_0^2}{2^5} \times \frac{2}{3} \times \int_0^\infty dp p^4 e^{-p}$$

$$4! = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 = 2^3 \cdot 3$$

$$= \frac{2^3 \cdot 2^3 \cdot 3}{2^5 \cdot 3} \cdot a_0^2 = a_0^2$$

$$\text{so } \boxed{E_{100}^2 \leq \frac{8}{3} a_0^3 \epsilon^2}$$

Method #2 Dalgarno & Lewis

→ idea is to cancel out the energy denominator by figuring out a way to get \tilde{H}^0 back in the picture

→ "new" operator \tilde{Q} (to be found)

→ satisfies $\tilde{H}' = [\tilde{Q}, \tilde{H}^0]$

$$\text{then } E_{100}^2 = \sum' \frac{\langle 100 | \tilde{H}' | nlm \rangle \langle nlm | \tilde{H}' | 100 \rangle}{E_{100} - E_{nlm}}$$

$$= \sum' \frac{\langle 100 | \tilde{H}' | nlm \rangle \langle nlm | [\tilde{Q}, \tilde{H}^0] | 100 \rangle}{E_{100} - E_{nlm}}$$

$$= \sum' \frac{\langle 100 | \tilde{H}' | nlm \rangle \langle nlm | \tilde{Q} \tilde{H}^0 - \tilde{H}^0 \tilde{Q} | 100 \rangle}{E_{100} - E_{nlm}}$$

$$= \sum' \frac{\langle 100 | \tilde{H}' | nlm \rangle (E_{100} - E_{nlm}) \langle nlm | \tilde{Q} | 100 \rangle}{(E_{100} - E_{nlm})}$$

$$= \sum' \langle 100 | \tilde{H}' | nlm \rangle \langle nlm | \tilde{Q} | 100 \rangle$$

$$= \sum \langle 100 | \underbrace{H'}_{\text{complete (now)}} | nlm \rangle \langle nlm | \underline{\Omega} | 100 \rangle - \langle 100 | \underline{H'} | 100 \rangle \langle 100 | \underline{\Omega} | 100 \rangle$$

$$E_{100}^2 = \langle 100 | \underline{H'} \underline{\Omega} | 100 \rangle - \langle 100 | \underline{H'} | 100 \rangle \langle 100 | \underline{\Omega} | 100 \rangle$$

To find $\underline{\Omega}$, only really need

$$\underline{H'} | 100 \rangle = [\underline{\Omega}, \underline{H}^0] | 100 \rangle$$

$$\text{but } \underline{H'} | 100 \rangle \rightarrow e \epsilon z \left(\frac{1}{\pi a_0^3} \right)^{1/2} e^{-r/a_0} \\ = e \epsilon r \cos \theta \left(\frac{1}{\pi a_0^3} \right)^{1/2} e^{-r/a_0}$$

assert with:

$$\underline{\Omega} = -\frac{m a_0 e \epsilon}{\hbar^2} \left[\frac{r^2 \cos \theta}{2} + a_0 r \cos \theta \right]$$

$$\text{then } [\underline{\Omega}, \underline{H}^0] | 100 \rangle = \underline{H'} | 100 \rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \uparrow \\ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - \frac{e^2}{r} \qquad \left(\frac{1}{\pi a_0^3} \right)^{1/2} e^{-r/a_0} \end{array}$$

a bit of work to prove... grungy.

$$\text{exact value: } E_{100}^2 = -\frac{27}{32} \times \frac{8}{3} a_0^3 \epsilon^2$$